

Nuclear matter properties and relativistic mean-field theory

K.C. Chung¹, C.S. Wang^{1,2}, A.J. Santiago¹, and J.W. Zhang²

(1) *Instituto de Física, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,
Rio de Janeiro-RJ 20559-900, Brazil*

(2) *Department of Technical Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
(February 8, 2008)*

Nuclear matter properties are calculated in the relativistic mean field theory by using a number of different parameter sets. The result shows that the volume energy a_1 and the symmetry energy J are around the acceptable values 16MeV and 30MeV respectively; the incompressibility K_0 is unacceptably high in the linear model, but assumes reasonable value if nonlinear terms are included; the density symmetry L is around 100MeV for most parameter sets, and the symmetry incompressibility K_s has positive sign which is opposite to expectations based on the nonrelativistic model. In almost all parameter sets there exists a critical point (ρ_c, δ_c) , where the minimum and the maximum of the equation of state are coincident and the incompressibility equals zero, falling into ranges $0.014\text{fm}^{-3} < \rho_c < 0.039\text{fm}^{-3}$ and $0.74 < \delta_c \leq 0.95$; for a few parameter sets there is no critical point and the pure neutron matter is predicted to be bound. The maximum mass M_{NS} of neutron stars is predicted in the range $2.45M_\odot \leq M_{NS} \leq 3.26M_\odot$, the corresponding neutron star radius R_{NS} is in the range $12.2\text{km} \leq R_{NS} \leq 15.1\text{km}$.

PACS numbers: 21.65.+f, 24.10.Jv, 26.60.+c

1. Introduction

Groundstate nuclear matter properties are specified by the nuclear matter equation of state $e(\rho_N, \delta)$ which is simply the energy per nucleon of nuclear matter given as a function of nucleon density ρ_N and relative neutron excess $\delta = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho_N$. This equation of state is a fundamental quantity in theories of neutron stars and supernova explosions, as well as in theories of nucleus-nucleus collisions at energies where nuclear compressibility comes into play [1]. The main measured quantities which can provide information about equation of state are the binding energies and other data from finite nuclei. As the finite nuclei are in states near the nuclear matter standard state $(\rho_N = \rho_0, \delta = 0)$, which is defined as the equilibrium state of symmetric nuclear matter with minimum energy per nucleon and called also the normal state, our actual knowledge of nuclear matter is mainly about nuclear matter at state close to the point $(\rho_0, 0)$. In this case, the equation of state can be written approximately as [2] [3]

$$e(\rho_N, \delta) = -a_1 + \frac{1}{18}(K_0 + K_s\delta^2)\left(\frac{\rho_N - \rho_0}{\rho_0}\right)^2 + \left[J + \frac{L}{3}\left(\frac{\rho_N - \rho_0}{\rho_0}\right)\right]\delta^2, \quad (1)$$

which is specified by the standard density ρ_0 , volume energy a_1 , symmetry energy J , incompressibility K_0 , density symmetry L and symmetry incompressibility K_s . The most interesting quantity for supernova explosion calculation is the nuclear incompressibility K_0 which dictates the balance between gravity and internal pressure of the stellar system, while the most interesting quantities for heavy-ion collision studies are the nuclear incompressibility K_0 and the symmetry incompressibility K_s which influence the side-flow effects and the isotopic distributions of the collisions, respectively.

There is no direct experimental measurement on these quantities. They can be determined only from data fit based on some specific nuclear model. Therefore, our actual knowledge about these quantities is essentially model dependent. Nowadays the quantities which are known with reasonable precision are a_1 , J and K_0 , being the last two still under active investigation. One of the most sophisticated data fit is given by the nonrelativistic Thomas-Fermi statistical model of nuclei with Myers-Swiatecki phenomenological nucleon-nucleon interaction [4]. It is a fit to 1654 ground-state masses of nuclei with $N, Z \geq 8$, together with a constraint that ensures agreement with measured values of the nuclear surface diffuseness, giving the root-mean-square mass deviation equal to 0.655MeV . The data fits based on Skyrme nucleon-nucleon interactions give comparable results [3], whereas a model independent but approximate data fit also gives a_1 , K_0 , J and L very close to that obtained by the before mentioned data fit [5] [6].

As the σ - ω - ρ model of the relativistic mean-field theory is used widely to investigate various nuclear phenomena with success [7]- [10], it is interesting to calculate these nuclear matter quantities within this model by using the available parameter sets, to compare with those obtained by the nonrelativistic model. In addition, as these parameters are determined by nuclear ground-state properties, it is also interesting to see what the σ - ω - ρ model can predict for the nuclear system under extreme conditions of density and asymmetry. In this case, the most interesting quantities

are the location $e_m = e(\rho_m, \delta)$ of the minimum of the equation of state for given asymmetry δ , and the generalized incompressibility $K_m = K(\rho_m, \delta)$ of the nuclear matter at this state [11]. Another interesting quantity is the maximum mass of neutron stars M_{NS} calculated by the equation of state for neutron matter with $\delta = 1$. Actually, to predict these properties of nuclear matter under extreme conditions is just one of the main goals in developing a relativistic mean-field theory [9].

The purpose of this paper is to make above mentioned calculation in comparing with results obtained by the nonrelativistic model. Section II presents the formalism and formulas used in this calculation. Section III addresses a numerical analysis on linear σ - ω - ρ model of the relativistic mean-field theory. The standard nuclear matter properties calculated from a number of parameter sets are given in Section IV, and the prediction for cold nuclear matter under extreme conditions is made in Section V. Section VI gives the summary. Appendix A displays functions $F_m(x)$ and $f_m(x)$ which are useful in the analytical expressions as well as in the numerical calculations. The Bjorken-Drell convention for four-vector [12] and the natural units with $\hbar = c = 1$ are used.

2. Formalism

The σ - ω - ρ model of the relativistic mean-field theory is specified by the following Lagrangian density [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \bar{\psi}[\gamma_\mu(i\partial^\mu - g_\omega\omega^\mu - g_\rho\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{b}^\mu) - (M - g_\sigma\phi)]\psi \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - m_\sigma^2\phi^2) - \frac{1}{3}Mb(g_\sigma\phi)^3 - \frac{1}{4}c(g_\sigma\phi)^4 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega_\mu\omega^\mu + \frac{1}{4}c_3(\omega_\mu\omega^\mu)^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu}\cdot\mathbf{B}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\mathbf{b}_\mu\cdot\mathbf{b}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu\omega^\nu - \partial^\nu\omega^\mu$, $\mathbf{B}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu\mathbf{b}^\nu - \partial^\nu\mathbf{b}^\mu$, ψ , ϕ , ω and $\mathbf{b}^\mu$ are the nucleon, σ , ω and ρ meson fields with masses M , m_σ , m_ω and m_ρ , respectively, while g_σ , g_ω and g_ρ are the respective coupling constants; b , c and c_3 are the nonlinear term coefficients, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are isospin matrices. The nuclear matter equation of state derived from this Lagrangian density can be expressed in terms of the nuclear energy density $\mathcal{E}$ as $e = \mathcal{E}/\rho_N - M$, and

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_k + \mathcal{E}_\sigma + \mathcal{E}_\omega + \mathcal{E}_\rho, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \frac{M^4\zeta^4}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=p,n} F_1(k_i/\xi M), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma = M^4 \left[\frac{1}{2C_\sigma^2} (1 - \xi)^2 + \frac{1}{3}b(1 - \xi)^3 + \frac{1}{4}c(1 - \xi)^4 \right], \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega = \frac{C_\omega^2\rho_N^2}{2M^2} \frac{1}{(1 + c_3\omega_0^2/m_\omega^2)^2} + c_3 \frac{3}{4} \frac{C_\omega^4\rho_N^4}{M^4m_\omega^4} \frac{1}{(1 + c_3\omega_0^2/m_\omega^2)^4}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_\rho = \frac{C_\rho^2\rho_N^2}{2M^2} \delta^2, \quad (7)$$

where k_p and k_n are the proton and neutron Fermi momenta respectively,

$$\xi = \frac{M^*}{M} = 1 - \frac{g_\sigma}{M}\phi, \quad (8)$$

$$C_i = g_i \frac{M}{m_i}, \quad i = \sigma, \omega, \rho, \quad (9)$$

and the function $F_m(x)$ is defined as (see Appendix A for details):

$$F_m(x) = \int_0^x dx x^{2m} \sqrt{1+x^2}. \quad (10)$$

The reduced effective nucleon mass ξ and thus the field ϕ is determined by

$$(1-\xi) + bC_\sigma^2(1-\xi)^2 + cC_\sigma^2(1-\xi)^3 = \frac{C_\sigma^2}{\pi^2} \xi^3 \sum_{i=p,n} f_1(k_i/\xi M), \quad (11)$$

and the field ω_0 by

$$\omega_0 = \frac{C_\omega \rho_N}{M m_\omega} \frac{1}{1 + c_3 \omega_0^2 / m_\omega^2}. \quad (12)$$

Knowing the equation of state, the following formulas for pressure p and generalized incompressibility K [11] can be obtained:

$$p = -\mathcal{E} + \rho_N \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \rho_N} = \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{E}_k - \frac{1}{3} M \xi \rho_s - \mathcal{E}_\sigma + \mathcal{E}_\omega - \frac{1}{2} c_3 \omega_0^4 + \mathcal{E}_\rho, \quad (13)$$

$$K \equiv 9 \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho_N} = \frac{1}{\rho_N} \left\{ \frac{M^4 \xi^4}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=p,n} \left(\frac{k_i}{\xi M} \right)^3 f_1'(k_i/\xi M) + 9 \frac{C_\rho^2 \rho_N^2}{M^2} \delta^2 \right. \\ \left. + 9 \frac{C_\omega^2 \rho_N^2}{M^2} \frac{1}{1 + 3c_3 \omega_0^2 / m_\omega^2} + 3 \frac{M^4 \xi^4}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=p,n} \frac{k_i}{\xi M} f_1'(k_i/\xi M) \frac{\rho_N}{\xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \rho_N} \right\}, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\rho_N}{\xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \rho_N} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{Q}{\xi [1 + 2bC_\sigma^2(1-\xi) + 3cC_\sigma^2(1-\xi)^2] + Q + 3C_\sigma^2 \rho_s / M^3}, \quad (15)$$

$$\rho_s = \frac{M^3 \xi^3}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=p,n} f_1(k_i/\xi M), \quad (16)$$

$$Q = -\frac{C_\sigma^2}{\pi^2} \xi^3 \sum_{i=p,n} \frac{k_i}{\xi M} f_1'(k_i/\xi M). \quad (17)$$

In the previous equation, $f_m'(x) = df_m(x)/dx$, and the function $f_m(x)$ is defined as (see Appendix A for details)

$$f_m(x) = \int_0^x dx \frac{x^{2m}}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}. \quad (18)$$

At the standard state $(\rho_0, 0)$, the pressure should be zero,

$$p(\rho_0, 0) = 0, \quad (19)$$

and

$$K_0 = K(\rho_0, 0) = 9 \left(\rho_N^2 \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \rho_N^2} \right)_0. \quad (20)$$

In addition, the following formulas can be derived:

$$J \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial \delta^2} \Big|_0 = \frac{1}{6} \frac{k_F^2}{\sqrt{k_F^2 + M^2 \xi_0^2}} + \frac{C_\rho^2 k_F^3}{3\pi^2 M^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$L \equiv \frac{3}{2} \left(\rho_N \frac{\partial^3 e}{\partial \rho_N \partial \delta^2} \right)_0 = J + 2J_\rho - \left\{ 3 + \frac{M^3}{C_\sigma^2 \rho_s} \xi [1 + 2bC_\sigma^2(1 - \xi) + 3cC_\sigma^2(1 - \xi)^2] \right\}_0 J_\sigma, \quad (22)$$

$$J_\rho = \frac{C_\rho^2 \rho_0}{2M^2}, \quad (23)$$

$$J_\sigma = -\frac{3}{2} M \left(\frac{M\xi_0}{k_F} \right)^3 f_1(k_F/\xi_0 M) \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \delta^2} \Big|_0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial \delta^2} \Big|_0 &= \frac{2}{9} \frac{C_\sigma^2 \xi_0^3}{\pi^2} \left[2 \frac{k}{\xi M} f_1'(k/\xi M) - \left(\frac{k}{\xi M} \right)^2 f_1''(k/\xi M) \right]_0 \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{2C_\sigma^2 \xi_0^2}{\pi^2} \left[3f_1(k/\xi M) - \frac{k}{\xi M} f_1'(k/\xi M) \right] + [1 + 2bC_\sigma^2(1 - \xi) + 3cC_\sigma^2(1 - \xi)^2] \right\}_0^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$K_s \equiv \frac{9}{2} \left(\rho_N^2 \frac{\partial^4 e}{\partial \rho_N^2 \partial \delta^2} \right)_0 = -6L + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \delta^2} \Big|_0. \quad (26)$$

The subscript 0 in the above formulas stands for the standard state $(\rho_0, 0)$, and k_F is the nucleon Fermi momentum of standard nuclear matter which is related to standard density ρ_0 and nuclear radius constant r_0 as

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi r_0^3/3} = \frac{2k_F^3}{3\pi^2}. \quad (27)$$

Formula (21) is well-known in the literature [7]. It is worthwhile to note that, for the linear model with $b = c = c_3 = 0$, the ρ -meson in the standard state is nonrelevant to Eqs.(3), (11) and (19). Thus the parameters C_σ and C_ω are the same both for model with or without ρ -meson, since they are determined by standard density ρ_0 and volume energy a_1 . This point will be discussed more specifically in the next Section.

3. Determination of C_σ , C_ω and C_ρ in the linear model

For the linear model, $b = c = c_3 = 0$, the nuclear energy density (3) in the standard state $(\rho_0, 0)$ is simplified as

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = 2 \frac{M^4 \xi_0^4}{\pi^2} F_1(k_F/\xi_0 M) + \frac{M^4}{2C_\sigma^2} (1 - \xi_0)^2 + \frac{C_\omega^2 \rho_0^2}{2M^2}, \quad (28)$$

and Eq.(11) determining the reduced effective nucleon mass ξ becomes

$$1 - \xi_0 = 2 \frac{C_\sigma^2 \xi_0^3}{\pi^2} f_1(k_F/\xi_0 M). \quad (29)$$

In addition, the equilibrium condition (19) and the expression of incompressibility (20) are reduced, respectively, to

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{M^4 \xi_0^4}{\pi^2} f_2(k_F/\xi_0 M) - \frac{M^4}{2C_\sigma^2} (1 - \xi_0)^2 + \frac{C_\omega^2 \rho_0^2}{2M^2} = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$K_0 = 6 \frac{C_\omega^2 k_F^3}{\pi^2 M^2} + 3M \xi_0 f_1'(k_F/\xi_0 M) \left[1 + \frac{M^2 \xi_0^2}{k_F^2} \left(\frac{Q_0}{3 - 2\xi_0 + Q_0} \right) \right], \quad (31)$$

$$Q_0 = -2 \frac{C_\sigma^2 \xi_0^3}{\pi^2} \frac{k_F}{\xi_0 M} f_1'(k_F/\xi_0 M). \quad (32)$$

It can be seen from Eqs.(28)-(32) that the relevant quantities are k_F , C_σ^2 , C_ω^2 and M . Note that ξ_0 is determined by Eq.(29) and ρ_0 is related to k_F by Eq.(27). Therefore, as the measured nucleon mass can be taken for M , the

composite parameters C_σ^2 and C_ω^2 can be determined completely by the value $e_0 = e(\rho_0, 0)$, by using Eqs.(28)-(30) together with $\mathcal{E}_0 = (e_0 + M)\rho_0$. The procedure is as follows.

At the stable equilibrium point $(\rho_0, 0)$, an equation involving e_0 , k_F , ξ_0 and C_σ can be obtained if Eqs.(28) and (30) are combined to cancel C_ω . On the other hand, C_σ can be solved as a function of k_F and ξ_0 from Eq.(29). Substituting this function of C_σ into the above-mentioned equation, the following equation involving e_0 , k_F and ξ_0 can be derived:

$$\frac{3}{\xi_0} f_1(k_F/\xi_0 M) + 2f_2(k_F/\xi_0 M) = (e_0 + M) \frac{k_F^3}{\xi_0^4 M^4}. \quad (33)$$

ξ_0 can be calculated from this equation, if the location (ρ_0, e_0) of stable equilibrium point is chosen as input data. Having this ξ_0 together with ρ_0 and e_0 , C_σ can be calculated from Eq.(29), then C_ω can be determined from Eq.(28) or (30). Finally, the incompressibility K_0 can be obtained from Eq.(31). It can be shown easily that Eq.(33) is identical to Eq.(22) of Ref. [9] which is originally given in Ref. [13]. Numerically, ρ_0 and thus k_F can be expressed in terms of the nuclear radius constant r_0 as Eq.(27), while e_0 can be related to the nuclear volume energy coefficient a_1 as $e_0 = e(\rho_0, 0) = -a_1$. The experimentally acceptable values are [3]

$$r_0 \approx 1.14\text{fm}, \quad a_1 \approx 16\text{MeV}. \quad (34)$$

The numerical calculation shows that, in the ranges $1.05\text{fm} \leq r_0 \leq 1.25\text{fm}$ and $15.5\text{MeV} \leq a_1 \leq 16.5\text{MeV}$, the effective mass $\xi \approx 0.54$ does not depend on the choice of r_0 and a_1 sensitively. The composite parameters C_σ^2 and C_ω^2 are sensitive to the choice of r_0 but not of a_1 . Fig.1 shows C_σ^2 and C_ω^2 as a function of r_0 for given $a_1 = 16\text{MeV}$. Fig.2 gives the nuclear matter incompressibility K_0 calculated by Eq.(31) as a function of a_1 for given $r_0 = 1.14\text{fm}$. It is not sensitive to the choice of r_0 . Furthermore, Fig.2 shows that K_0 is approximately a linear function of a_1 , in agreement with what is obtained in the macroscopic phenomenological approach to the nuclear matter [14].

In case of the Walecka model [15], $C_\rho = 0$, other nuclear matter properties J , L and K_s can be calculated also from these C_σ and C_ω by Eqs.(21)-(26). The calculated coefficients J , L and K_s are almost constant in the range $15.5\text{MeV} \leq a_1 \leq 16.5\text{MeV}$ for given $r_0 = 1.14\text{fm}$,

$$J \approx 20\text{MeV}, \quad L \approx 70\text{MeV}, \quad K_s \approx 88\text{MeV}. \quad (35)$$

On the other hand, these coefficients depend on the choice of r_0 weakly, for given a_1 .

In case ρ -meson is included also in the model, the composite parameter C_ρ can be determined by measured symmetry energy J through Eq.(21). The inclusion of ρ -meson contributes to the symmetry energy with an extra term J_ρ (Eq.(23)) and to the density symmetry L with an extra term $3J_\rho$, while keeping the other coefficients a_1 , K_0 and K_s unchanged. For symmetry incompressibility K_s , it can be seen from Eqs.(26) and (14) that the ρ -meson contributes with a term $-18J_\rho$ to $-6L$ and a term $18J_\rho$ to $(1/2)\partial^2 K/\partial\delta^2|_0$, and these extra terms cancel each other.

4. Standard state nuclear matter properties

There are many parameter sets for the σ - ω - ρ model of the relativistic mean field theory in the literature, some of them are listed in Table I, where L-W is taken from the pioneering Walecka linear σ - ω model [15], L-HS from the Horowitz-Serot linear σ - ω - ρ model [16], L1, L2 and L3 from Lee *et al.* [17], L-Z, NL-Z and NL-VT from Rufa *et al.* [18], NL1 from Reinhard *et al.* [19], NL2 from Fink *et al.* [20], NL3 and NL3-II from Lalazissis *et al.* [21], NLB, NLC and NLD from Serot [8], NL-B1 and NL-B2 from Boussy *et al.* [22] [23], NL-RA from Rashdan [24], NL-SH from Sharma *et al.* [25], TM1 and TM2 from Sugahara and Toki [26]. Most of them are collected in Reinhard's review [10]. In Table I, g_2 and g_3 are defined, respectively, as

$$g_2 = Mb g_\sigma^3, \quad g_3 = c g_\sigma^4. \quad (36)$$

It should be noted that some of these parameter sets are given originally in values of C_i instead of g_i , $i = \sigma, \omega, \rho$. In this case the values of g_i given here are calculated from C_i , m_i and M by Eq.(9). It should be noted also that our g_ρ is only one half of that defined in Ref. [9].

As mainly nuclear matter properties are concerned in the present calculation, the relevant parameters are only C_σ^2 , C_ω^2 , C_ρ^2 , while the meson masses m_σ , m_ω and m_ρ are nonrelevant ones, in case of the linear model. However, in nonlinear model the meson mass is able in some case to influence the nuclear matter property. For example, the ω meson mass m_ω appears in Eq.(12) and thus has effect on nuclear matter property in the nonlinear model via the term $(\omega_\mu \omega^\mu)^2$.

The standard nuclear matter properties related to these parameter sets are shown in Table II, where all quantities are given in MeV, except ρ_0 which is in fm^{-3} . In the calculation of a_1 , K_0 , J , L and K_s , using formulas given in Section II and input parameters listed in Table I, Eqs.(11) and (19) should be solved simultaneously at first for ξ_0 and k_F at the standard point. The calculation of $\partial^2 K / \partial \delta^2|_0$, in Eq.(26) of K_s , is made numerically, as its analytical expression is too complicated to be derived. The simple numerical average among the nonlinear model sets is given as the set $\langle \text{NL} \rangle$, and the Myers-Swiatecki's result [4] is shown also as the set MS for comparison.

ρ_0 and a_1 give the location of nuclear matter standard state. Most values of ρ_0 given in the σ - ω - ρ model are lower than that of Myers-Swiatecki's, the later corresponds to $r_0 = 1.140\text{fm}$ and agrees with that obtained from elastic electron scattering and muonic atom spectroscopy measurements [27] [28]. Most values of a_1 given in the σ - ω - ρ model are in the reasonable range around 16MeV, except those of L1, L2, L3, LZ and NL2 sets, which seem too large. Since a_1 is the leading term in the approximate equation of state (1), it is the main parameter in any data fit to nuclear masses. However, there is a big fluctuation around 16MeV, as can be seen from Table II.

K_0 and J , the next terms to the leading a_1 in the approximate equation of state (1), are the fine tune in the data fit to nuclear masses, as shown in the droplet model of nuclei [29]. It can be seen from Table II that K_0 given in the σ - ω - ρ model is much larger than that of Myers-Swiatecki's, while J is only about 2/3 of Myers-Swiatecki's, for the linear σ - ω model; J will be increased if the ρ -meson is added also to the linear σ - ω model, but K_0 keeps the same value. This is an inherent character of linear σ - ω - ρ model, as has been shown generally in last Section. In this respect, the nonlinear terms are needed in order to reduce the nuclear incompressibility K_0 , as supported by the calculated results listed in Table II. It is worthwhile to note that, even the value of K_0 obtained from different nuclear measurements and astrophysical observations are spread over a large range from 180 to 800MeV [30], most expectations based on the nonrelativistic model are around 220MeV [11].

Being terms of order higher than K_0 and J in the approximate equation of state (1), L and K_s belong to the superfine tune in the data fit to nuclear masses. Even if most values of L given in the σ - ω - ρ model seem to be larger than the acceptable one, they are still in the reasonable range around 100MeV. On the other hand, the values of K_s are all positive whose sign is opposite to most expectations based on the nonrelativistic model [1]. Experimentally, K_s obtained from the isoscalar giant-monopole resonance energy is between -566 ± 1350 to $34 \pm 159\text{MeV}$ [31].

5. Prediction for cold nuclear matter under extreme conditions

The stability condition for the state at minimum of equation of state for given asymmetry δ is

$$p(\rho_m, \delta) = 0. \quad (37)$$

The solution of this equation for given δ gives the location of the minimum $\rho_m = \rho_m(\delta)$. Knowing this location $\rho_m(\delta)$, the minimum $e_m = e(\rho_m, \delta)$ and the generalized incompressibility at this minimum $K_m(\delta) = K(\rho_m, \delta)$ can be calculated. Furthermore, the critical point of the equation of state (ρ_c, δ_c) can be defined as the point where the maximum and the minimum are coincident and thus the curvature of $e(\rho, \delta_c)$ versus ρ equals zero. As the generalized incompressibility $K(\rho, \delta)$ is proportional to this curvature, we have at the critical point

$$K_m(\delta_c) = K(\rho_c, \delta_c) = 0. \quad (38)$$

This equation together with (37) can be used to obtain the critical point (ρ_c, δ_c) .

Table III lists the calculated critical point (ρ_c, δ_c) , the corresponding effective nucleon mass M^*/M , the energy per nucleon e_m as well as the generalized incompressibility K_m at the critical point. In case there is no critical point, the corresponding quantities at the minimum point of the pure neutron matter equation of state with $\delta = 1$ are listed. ρ_c is in fm^{-3} units, while e_m and K_m are in MeV units. The values given by the Myers-Swiatecki equation of state [11] are also listed in the last row for comparison. It can be seen that there is no critical point for parameter sets LW, L1, L2, L3 and NL-B2. In these cases, there is a minimum for the pure neutron matter equation of state and the bound neutron matter is predicted. For other parameter sets, the neutron matter is an unbound gas system. The predicted critical point (ρ_c, δ_c) is in the ranges $0.014\text{fm}^{-3} < \rho_c \leq 0.039\text{fm}^{-3}$ and $0.74 < \delta_c \leq 0.95$, with the corresponding effective nucleon mass in the range $0.87 \leq M^*/M \leq 0.95$.

In addition, the predicted maximum mass M_{NS} and the corresponding radius R_{NS} of neutron stars, calculated by the Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation, using the σ - ω - ρ model equation of state of the relativistic mean field theory with the above mentioned parameter sets and $\delta = 1$, are also shown in Table III. The range of the maximum mass is $2.45M_\odot \leq M_{NS} \leq 3.26M_\odot$, and the range of corresponding star radius is $12.2\text{km} \leq R_{NS} \leq 15.1\text{km}$.

Fig.3 gives some examples of $\rho_m(\delta)$, where the solid curve from top to bottom in the middle range of δ corresponds to L-W, L-HS, NL-SH, TM1, NLC, and NL1; the dashed curve corresponds to Myers-Swiatecki' result. One source of deviation among these curves comes from the difference in the origin of the curves: $\rho_0 = \rho_m(0)$. In cases of L-W and

L1 ρ_0 is much higher but others are close or lower than that of Myers-Swiatecki's. However, even if all the curves are rescaled to the same ρ_0 , there still exists large deviation among these curves in the middle range of δ .

Fig.4 plots some examples of e_m versus δ , where the solid curve from left to right on the end of the curve corresponds to L-HS, NL-SH, TM1, NL1, NLC, and L-W; the dashed curve corresponds to Myers-Swiatecki's result. All curves are close each other in the low asymmetry region, but L-W's is significantly lower than others for $\delta > 0.2$.

Fig.5 is the curve K_m versus δ calculated by same parameter sets as that of Figs.3 and 4. The solid curve from top to bottom in the middle range of δ is by L-W, L-HS, NL-SH, TM1, NLC, and NL1, and the dashed curve is by Myers-Swiatecki. The difference between these curves is obvious, even if NLC and NL1's are close to each other as well as close to Myers-Swiatecki's.

6. Summary

In summary, the properties of nuclear matter at standard density ρ_0 with equal neutron and proton densities, $\rho_n = \rho_p$, are calculated at first in the relativistic mean field theory with a variety of parameter sets. The result shows that the volume energy a_1 and symmetry energy J are around the acceptable value 16MeV and 30MeV respectively, the incompressibility K_0 is reasonable only for nonlinear model while is unacceptably high for linear model, the density symmetry L is around 100MeV for most parameter sets, and the symmetry incompressibility K_s has positive value whose sign is opposite to most expectations based on the nonrelativistic model.

Secondly, the calculation shows that for most parameter sets there exists a critical point (ρ_c, δ_c) , where the minimum and the maximum of the equation of state are coincident and the incompressibility equals zero, and it falls into ranges $0.014\text{fm}^{-3} < \rho_c < 0.039\text{fm}^{-3}$ and $0.74 < \delta_c \leq 0.95$; while for some parameter sets there is no critical point and the pure neutron matter is bound. The deviation among results calculated by different parameter sets is discussed. The maximum mass of neutron stars is also calculated with results in the range $2.45M_\odot \leq M_{NS} \leq 3.26M_\odot$. It is worthwhile to note that a more realistic calculation, by using a nuclear Thomas-Fermi equation of state, gives a maximum mass of neutron stars equal to $3.26M_\odot$ [32]. The most of observational neutron star masses are between $1.2 - 1.8M_\odot$.

As different parameter sets give results which deviate significantly from one another, in order to extract from them more reliable predictions for nuclear matter properties, more sophisticated data fit, especially the data fit to larger number of nuclear masses and other measured nuclear data is expected for the nonlinear σ - ω - ρ model of relativistic mean field theory.

APPENDIX A:

Functions $F_m(x)$ and $f_m(x)$ defined below are useful in the analytical expressions and numerical calculations of the relativistic mean field theory:

$$F_m(x) \equiv \int_0^x dx \cdot x^{2m} \sqrt{1+x^2}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$f_m(x) \equiv \int_0^x dx \frac{x^{2m}}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The following formulas can be obtained:

$$F_m(x) = f_m(x) + f_{m+1}(x), \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$f'_{m+1}(x) = x^2 f'_m(x), \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$F'_{m+1}(x) = x^2 F'_m(x), \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$F'_m(x) = (1+x^2)f'_m(x), \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$f_m(x) = xF'_{m-1}(x) - (2m-1)F_{m-1}(x), \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$f_m(x) = -xF'_m(x) + 2(m+1)F_m(x). \quad (\text{A8})$$

Some examples of $F_m(x)$ and $f_m(x)$ are:

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{8}[(1+2x^2)x\sqrt{1+x^2} + \ln(\sqrt{1+x^2} - x)], \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}[x\sqrt{1+x^2} + \ln(\sqrt{1+x^2} - x)], \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$f_2(x) = -\frac{3}{8}\left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{3}x^2\right)x\sqrt{1+x^2} + \ln(\sqrt{1+x^2} - x)\right]. \quad (\text{A11})$$

For $x \ll 1$, we have

$$F_m(x) = \frac{x^{2m+1}}{2m+1} + \frac{x^{2m+3}}{2(2m+3)} - \frac{x^{2m+5}}{8(2m+5)} + \cdots, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$f_m(x) = \frac{x^{2m+1}}{2m+1} - \frac{x^{2m+3}}{2(2m+3)} + \frac{3x^{2m+5}}{8(2m+5)} + \cdots. \quad (\text{A13})$$

- [1] B.A. Li, C.M. Ko and W. Bauer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E7**, 147 (1998).
- [2] W.D. Myers and W.J. Swiatecki, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **55**, 395 (1969).
- [3] K.C. Chung, C.S. Wang, A.J. Santiago and J.W. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **C61**, 047303 (2000).
- [4] W.D. Myers and W.J. Swiatecki, Nucl. Phys. **A601**, 141 (1996).
- [5] K.C. Chung, C.S. Wang, and A.J. Santiago, Europhys. Lett. **47**, 663 (1999).
- [6] C.S. Wang, K.C. Chung, and A.J. Santiago, Phys. Rev. **C60**, 034310 (1999).
- [7] B.D. Serot and J.D. Walecka, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **16**, 1 (1986).
- [8] B.D. Serot, Rep. Prog. Phys. **55**, 1855 (1992).
- [9] B.D. Serot and J.D. Walecka, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E6**, 515 (1997).
- [10] P.G. Reinhard, Rep. Prog. Phys. **52**, 439 (1989).
- [11] W.D. Myers and W.J. Swiatecki, Phys. Rev. **C57**, 3020 (1998).
- [12] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [13] R.J. Furnstahl, B.D. Serot and H.-B. Tang, Nucl. Phys. **A598**, 539 (1996).
- [14] K.C. Chung, C.S. Wang, A.J. Santiago and J.W. Zhang, *Effective nucleon-nucleon interactions and nuclear matter equation of state*, to be published in Eur. Phys. J. A.
- [15] J.D. Walecka, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **83**, 491 (1974).
- [16] C.J. Horowitz and B.D. Serot, Nucl. Phys. **A368**, 503 (1981).
- [17] S.-J. Lee, J. Fink, A.B. Balantekin, M.R. Strayer, A.S. Umar, P.-G. Reinhard, J.A. Maruhn and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2916 (1986); **59**, 1171 (1986).
- [18] M. Rufa, P.-G. Reinhard, J. Maruhn, W. Greiner and M.R. Strayer, Phys. Rev. **C35**, 390 (1988).
- [19] P.-G. Reinhard, M. Rufa, J. Maruhn, W. Greiner and J. Friedrich, Z. Phys. **A323**, 13 (1986).
- [20] J. Fink, S.-J. Lee, A.S. Umar, M.R. Strayer, J.A. Maruhn, W. Greiner and P.-G. Reinhard, ORNL Preprint, 1988.
- [21] G.A. Lalazissis, J. König and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. **C55**, 540 (1997).
- [22] A. Boussy, S. Marcos and J.F. Mathiot, Nucl. Phys. **A415**, 497 (1984).
- [23] A. Boussy, S. Marcos and Pham van Thieu, Nucl. Phys. **A422**, 541 (1984).
- [24] M. Rashdan, Phys. Lett. **B395**, 141 (1997).
- [25] M.M. Sharma, M.A. Nagarajan and P. Ring, Phys. Lett. **B312**, 377 (1993).
- [26] Y. Sugahara and H. Toki, Nucl. Phys. **A579**, 557 (1994).
- [27] H. de Vries, C.W. de Jager and C. de Vries, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **36**, 495 (1987).
- [28] G. Fricke, C. Bernhardt, K. Heilig, L.A. Schaller, L. Schellenberg, E.B. Shera and C.W. de Jager, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **60**, 177 (1995).

- [29] W.D. Myers, *Droplet Model of Atomic Nuclei*, IFI/Plenum, New York, 1977.
[30] N.K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. **C37**, 2733 (1988).
[31] S. Shlomo and D.H. Youngblood, Phys. Rev. **C47**, 529 (1993).
[32] K.C. Chung and T. Kodama, Braz. J. Phys. **8**, 404 (1978).

FIG. 1. Composite parameters C_σ^2 and C_ω^2 as a function of r_0 for given $a_1 = 16\text{MeV}$, in the linear σ - ω - ρ model.

FIG. 2. The nuclear matter incompressibility K_0 calculated as a function of a_1 for given $r_0 = 1.14\text{fm}$, in the linear σ - ω - ρ model.

FIG. 3. Some examples of the location $\rho_m(\delta)$ of the σ - ω - ρ model equation of state. The solid curves from top to bottom in the middle range of δ correspond to L-W, L-HS, NL-SH, TM1, NLC, and NL1, respectively. The dashed curve corresponds to Myers-Swiatecki's result.

FIG. 4. Some examples of the deep $e_m \times \delta$ of the σ - ω - ρ model equation of state. The solid curves from left to right on the high δ range correspond to L-HS, NL-SH, TM1, NL1, NLC, and L-W, respectively. The dashed curve corresponds to Myers-Swiatecki's result.

FIG. 5. The curve $K_m \times \delta$ calculated by the same σ - ω - ρ model parameter sets as shown in Figs.4 and 5. The solid curves from top to bottom in the middle range of δ correspond to L-W, L-HS, NL-SH, TM1, NLC, and NL1, respectively, and the dashed curve corresponds to Myers-Swiatecki's result.

TABLE I. Some parameter sets of the σ - ω - ρ model in the relativistic mean-field theory. See text for details.

Set	M	m_σ	m_ω	m_ρ	g_σ	g_ω	g_ρ	g_2	g_3	c_3
L-W	939.0	550.000	783.000	763.	9.57269	11.67114	.00000	.00000	.0000	.0000
L-HS	939.0	520.000	783.000	770.	10.47026	13.79966	4.03814	.00000	.0000	.0000
L1	938.0	550.000	783.000	763.	10.29990	12.59990	.00000	.00000	.0000	.0000
L2	938.0	546.940	780.000	763.	11.39720	14.24780	.00000	.00000	.0000	.0000
L3	938.0	492.260	780.000	763.	10.69200	14.87050	.00000	.00000	.0000	.0000
L-Z	938.9	551.310	780.000	763.	11.19330	13.82560	5.44415	.00000	.0000	.0000
NL1	938.0	492.250	795.359	763.	10.13770	13.28460	4.97570	12.17240	-36.2646	.0000
NL2	938.0	504.890	780.000	763.	9.11122	11.49280	5.38660	2.30404	13.7844	.0000
NL3	939.0	508.194	782.501	763.	10.21700	12.86800	4.47400	10.43086	-28.8849	.0000
NL3-II	939.0	507.680	781.869	763.	10.20200	12.85400	4.48000	10.39100	-28.9390	.0000
NLB	939.0	510.000	783.000	770.	9.69588	12.58890	4.27200	2.02714	1.6667	.0000
NL-B1	938.9	470.000	783.000	770.	8.75834	11.80520	3.75195	7.51446	-16.8112	.0000
NL-B2	938.9	485.000	783.000	770.	9.72687	12.89370	3.52938	9.47080	-28.1254	.0000
NLC	939.0	500.800	783.000	770.	9.75244	12.20370	4.32984	12.66960	-33.3333	.0000
NLD	939.0	476.700	783.000	770.	8.26559	10.86600	4.49305	3.79970	8.3333	.0000
NL-RA	939.0	515.000	782.600	763.	9.62661	11.90390	4.52418	8.06582	-16.3173	.0000
NL-SH	939.0	526.059	783.000	763.	10.44400	12.94500	4.38300	6.90990	-15.8337	.0000
NL-VT	938.9	483.420	780.000	763.	9.79084	12.65660	4.61319	13.16500	-38.1282	.0000
NL-Z	938.9	488.670	780.000	763.	10.05530	12.90860	4.84944	13.50720	-40.2243	.0000
TM1	938.0	511.198	783.000	770.	10.02890	12.61390	4.63220	7.23250	.6183	71.3075
TM2	938.0	526.443	783.000	770.	11.46940	14.63770	4.67830	4.44400	4.6076	84.5318

TABLE II. Standard nuclear matter properties given by the σ - ω - ρ model parameter sets listed in Table I. a_1 , K_0 , J , L and K_s are in MeV, ρ_0 in fm^{-3} . See text for details.

Set	ρ_0	a_1	K_0	J	L	K_s
L-W	.1937	15.75	545.6	22.11	74.5	74.8
L-HS	.1485	15.75	546.8	34.98	115.5	93.4
L1	.1766	18.52	625.6	21.68	75.6	81.8
L2	.1417	16.78	578.5	19.07	68.8	97.4
L3	.1344	18.24	624.5	18.86	69.5	102.1
L-Z	.1494	17.07	586.3	48.84	157.9	94.2
NL1	.1518	16.42	211.1	43.46	140.1	142.6
NL2	.1456	17.03	399.4	43.86	129.7	20.1
NL3	.1482	16.24	271.6	37.40	118.5	100.8
NL3-II	.1491	16.26	271.7	37.70	119.7	103.3
NLB	.1485	15.77	421.0	35.01	108.3	54.8
NL-B1	.1625	15.79	280.4	33.04	102.5	76.1
NL-B2	.1627	15.79	245.6	33.10	111.3	158.8
NLC	.1485	15.77	224.4	35.02	108.0	76.8
NLD	.1485	15.77	343.2	35.01	101.5	13.5
NL-RA	.1570	16.25	320.5	38.90	119.1	62.0
NL-SH	.1460	16.35	355.3	36.12	113.6	79.7
NL-VT	.1530	16.09	172.8	39.73	126.9	130.0
NL-Z	.1508	16.19	172.8	41.72	133.9	140.0
TM1	.1452	16.26	281.2	36.89	110.8	33.5
TM2	.1323	16.16	343.8	35.98	113.0	56.0
$\langle \text{NL} \rangle$	.1500	16.14	287.7	37.53	117.1	83.2
MS	.1611	16.24	234.4	32.65	49.9	-147.1

TABLE III. Nuclear matter properties at the critical point (ρ_c, δ_c) or $(\rho_m, 1)$, the maximum neutron star mass M_{NS} and the corresponding star radius R_{NS} , calculated by the σ - ω - ρ model parameter sets listed in Table I. ρ_c is in fm^{-3} , e_c and K_c in MeV, M_{NS} in solar mass $M_\odot$ and R_{NS} in km. Myers-Swiatecki's values are listed in the last row for comparison. See text for details.

Set	δ_c	ρ_c	M^*/M	e_c	K_c	M_{NS}	R_{NS}
L-W	1.00	.0987	.766	1.93	77.8	2.60	12.2
L-HS	.86	.0392	.872	2.75	0.0	3.08	14.6
L1	1.00	.1034	.718	-0.63	142.3	2.80	13.0
L2	1.00	.0849	.712	-1.04	138.4	3.13	14.4
L3	1.00	.0847	.688	-2.46	174.5	3.26	15.0
L-Z	.75	.0388	.871	2.51	0.0	3.16	15.1
NL1	.91	.0150	.951	1.43	0.0	2.96	14.2
NL2	.81	.0288	.925	2.41	0.0	2.78	13.9
NL3	.92	.0182	.943	1.62	0.0	2.91	13.9
NL3-II	.92	.0178	.944	1.62	0.0	2.91	13.9
NLB	.87	.0327	.906	2.43	0.0	2.87	13.8
NL-B1	.95	.0236	.936	1.94	0.0	2.68	12.9
NL-B2	1.00	.0212	.934	1.75	1.8	2.87	13.5
NLC	.95	.0175	.949	1.63	0.0	2.77	13.2
NLD	.87	.0302	.929	2.29	0.0	2.60	13.0
NL-RA	.87	.0243	.934	1.89	0.0	2.75	13.4
NL-SH	.90	.0235	.927	1.90	0.0	2.93	14.1
NL-VT	.95	.0151	.952	1.44	0.0	2.87	13.7
NL-Z	.94	.0144	.953	1.39	0.0	2.92	13.9
TM1	.90	.0217	.935	1.82	0.0	2.45	13.3
TM2	.90	.0217	.918	1.83	0.0	2.73	14.4
MS	.82	.0304		1.10	0.0		









